

The aggressive brain: insights from neuroscience

Bruce D Bartholow

Aggression is a complex, multifaceted behavior often caused by numerous factors and expressed in innumerable ways. Like all behaviors, aggression represents the outcome of sets of biological and physiological processes emerging from the brain. Although this may seem obvious, discovering the specific neural circuits and neurophysiological processes responsible for engendering aggressive responses has proven anything but simple. The purpose of this review is to provide a brief overview of discoveries in both human cognitive neuroscience and animal behavioral neuroscience that have begun to shed light—literally in some cases—on the heretofore mysterious neural processes and connections responsible for producing aggressive behavioral responses.

Address

Department of Psychological Sciences, 210 McAlester Hall, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65203, USA

Corresponding author: Bartholow, Bruce D (bartholowb@missouri.edu)

Current Opinion in Psychology 2017, **19**:60–64

This review comes from a themed issue on **Aggression and violence**

Edited by **Brad J Bushman**

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.04.002>

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Aggressive behavior, defined as any action that is intended to harm (or threaten harm to) another individual [1], is nearly ubiquitous across species [2]. Although specific expressions of aggression (*i.e.*, phenotypes) often differ across species, the organization and function of relevant brain structures is often highly similar. In particular, the neuronal organization of limbic structures in rat and mouse brains is very comparable to that of human brains [4••]. Also, multiple neurochemical systems that regulate species-specific aggressive behaviors have co-evolved in humans and mice, for example, making animal models very useful analogues of human neural function in this context [4••]. Thus, considerable research has focused on discovering the neurophysiological and neurochemical mechanisms responsible for aggression in animals, one aim of which is to apply this knowledge to understanding human aggression [5].

Neuroanatomy of aggression

Prefrontal cortex

Given the extreme complexity and multi-functionality of neural systems, researchers face a daunting challenge in trying to understand the brain basis for complex behaviors like aggression. Historically, knowledge on this topic has come from case studies of individuals who suffered neural lesions as the result of disease or injury. For example, following the destruction of large portions of his medial prefrontal cortex during a horrific accident, 19th Century railroad worker Phineas Gage became fitful and irreverent, “indulging at times in the grossest profanity (which was not previously his custom),” and “at times pertinaciously obstinate” [6, p. 338]. Because some of Gage’s mental abilities were spared (*e.g.*, his memory; basic functions like walking and talking), whereas his personality and social behaviors were drastically altered, his case produced some of the earliest discoveries related to neural specialization. In particular, the location of Gage’s injury provided some of the first clues concerning the importance of the *prefrontal cortex* (PFC; primarily, Brodmann areas 8–11 and 44–47) in regulating anger and aggression [7,8].

The PFC is associated with high-level cognition and executive functioning [9], suggesting that aggressive actions often result from a failure of self-regulatory control [10,11]. A large body of research has supported this idea. For example, in one study, men who performed poorly on cognitive tests thought to rely on PFC functioning behaved more aggressively after being provoked in a laboratory setting, relative to their better-performing peers [12]. Another study linked increased human aggression to poor functioning in the orbital PFC [13], an area severely damaged in Gage’s accident, confirming earlier reports of increased aggression in rats following orbital PFC lesions [14]. Other studies have used lesion [15,16] and brain imaging data [17] to document the negative association between PFC functioning and aggression.

Social behavior network

The areas of the brain that appear to control aggression are not specialized for this purpose, leading some to suggest that aggression is an emergent property of a larger neural network involved in the regulation of social behaviors generally [18]. This proposed network includes the anterior hypothalamic nucleus (AHN), ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH), medial amygdala (MA), bilateral septum (BLS), periaqueductal gray (PAG), and the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST). PFC structures are thought to interact with social-behavior network structures by inhibiting or modulating their activation

[3]. Evidence supporting this interpretation has come from studies with rats showing that lesions of the BLS, BNST, AHN and MA tend to reduce aggression [19], whereas lesions of the orbitofrontal cortex tend to enhance aggression [14].

The hypothalamus appears to have particular significance for aggression. Early lesion studies with cats established the hypothalamus as important for the control of rage [20]. Focused electrical stimulation of the AHN has been shown to increase aggression in both rats [21,22] and cats [23], whereas micro-injection of a vasopressin-receptor antagonist into the AHN reduces aggression in hamsters [24]. Also, electrical stimulation of the AHN in rhesus monkeys and the VHA in marmosets increases aggressive displays and attacks on subordinate males [3]. Research with humans likewise supports an important role for the hypothalamus in triggering aggression [25].

Shedding light on neural function

Electrical and chemical stimulation studies represent a major advance over earlier ‘knife cut’ lesion studies or other neural ablation techniques, which often afford little precision in targeting specific groups of neurons for study. Still, even these more advanced techniques can be problematic because the electrical current, for example, activates both the neurons of interest and the fibers connecting them with other structures, making it difficult to pinpoint causal effects. Thankfully, *optogenetics* provides a solution to this problem. By delivering a gene that encodes light-sensitive protein onto the cells of interest, scientists can engineer neurons in a targeted location to be activated by specific frequencies of light delivered via an implanted optic fiber [26[•]]. Critically, any photons that inadvertently shine on nearby but not-genetically-altered cells will have no effect on their activation.

Using this technique, recent studies have shown that very specific neurons in the ventrolateral portion of the VMH (VMHvl) in mice, a microscopic area comprised of only around 10 000 cells, control male attack behaviors. One study found that attack responses – but not social investigation responses – are strongly suppressed by VMHvl inhibition, and that aggression returns to normal levels when VMHvl activity is restored [27]. More recent work provided evidence that aggression is an emergent property of a neural network subserving a range of social behaviors [28^{••}]. By adjusting the intensity of the light delivered to VMHvl neurons, the researchers could control whether mice engaged in sexual mounting behaviors (low-intensity light) or attack behaviors (high-intensity light). These data show both the exquisite sensitivity of VMHvl neurons to varying levels of stimulation and that the functional significance of their activation ranges dramatically, from the highly prosocial to the extremely antisocial.

Neurochemistry of aggression

Even within a circumscribed cluster like VMHvl, there is variation with respect to the presence of receptors for differing neurotransmitters. Thus, not all cells within a given structure are responsive to the same kind of neurochemical signaling and, ultimately, are not all responsible for regulating the same kinds of behaviors. Indeed, Lee *et al.* [28^{••}] found it was a relatively small subset of VMHvl neurons, distinguished by the presence of a receptor for the hormone estrogen, that were responsible for the scalable mounting-to-attacking behaviors elicited by optical stimulation (see also Ref. [29]).

Considerable research implicates the monoamine serotonin in regulating aggressive responses. Serotonin plays an important role in regulating affective responses, including those implicated in reactive, angry aggression. In very simple terms, too little serotonin can make people irritable and less able to control anger, indirectly leading to aggression. In correlational studies, brain serotonin levels have been negatively related to violence in both humans [30,31] and primates [32,33]. More direct support for the role of serotonin in aggression comes from experimental laboratory studies showing that short-term reduction in serotonin levels, achieved by decreasing dietary tryptophan, increases aggressive responding, whereas increasing serotonin levels via tryptophan supplements decreases aggressive responding [34,35]. Brain imaging studies show a potential mechanism for this effect. One study found that tryptophan-depleted participants showed weaker co-activation of limbic (amygdala) and prefrontal structures while viewing angry faces, suggesting that prefrontal regulation of anger-related responses is more difficult when serotonin levels are low [36]. Drug studies similarly have shown that acutely increasing serotonin levels via pharmacotherapy reduces aggression in the short term [37,38], and prolonged exposure to medications that increase serotonin levels chronically reduce impulsive aggression in patients with personality disorders [39,40]. Importantly, however, the serotonin–aggression link appears to hold only for high-arousal, impulsive or reactive aggression and not for low-arousal, planned or proactive aggression [25,41], consistent with the more general role of serotonin in regulating irritability (also see Ref. [42]).

Neural responses associated with aggression

Findings reviewed thus far have come from studies in which neural structure and function have been manipulated. Another class of studies involves measuring naturally occurring neural responses either as aggressive behaviors are enacted or as environmental cues associated with aggressive behaviors are processed. This cognitive neuroscience approach can establish links between aggression-related triggers in the environment and the neural processes that give rise to overt behavioral expressions of aggression.

A considerable amount of human aggression research is concerned with factors in the environment (*e.g.*, perceiving

hostility in others; witnessing others' aggressive acts) that are believed to elicit or increase the likelihood of aggressive responses. Studies of this type often involve participants viewing violence-related stimuli while their brain activity is measured. For example, one study showed that playing a violent (vs. a nonviolent) video game for 25 min led to reduced amplitude of the P3 (or P300) component of the event-related brain potential (ERP) elicited by depictions of real-life violence, but only among individuals low in prior violent videogame experience [43•]. Habitual violent gamers showed reduced P3 to depictions of violence regardless of which videogame they played, replicating findings from a previous correlational study [44]. Given that P3 amplitude reflects the incentive value of eliciting stimuli [45], these findings suggest that exposure to virtual violence can lead to desensitization to real-life violence (also see Ref. [46]).

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has been used to identify the neural structures involved in processing violence and in regulating aggression [36]. In one study, researchers reported a negative relation between violence in game scenes and activation in the rostral anterior cingulate cortex (rACC), amygdala, and orbitofrontal cortex as participants played video games, structures implicated in affect/emotion-related processing and self-regulation [47]. In another study, researchers found that ACC and amygdala activity during violent (compared to nonviolent) games was higher in individuals with predominantly nonviolent (vs. violent) previous gaming experience, suggesting that the violence depicted in the games was more motivationally salient and emotionally evocative among such individuals [48]. These results complement those using ERPs [43,44,46], providing additional evidence for the desensitization hypothesis using a different technique.

Other brain imaging studies complement the neuropsychological data reviewed previously. In one study, women who received injections of testosterone showed increased activation to depictions of angry versus happy faces in brain areas involved in reactive aggression, such as the amygdala and hypothalamus [49]. In another study decisions to retaliate against a provocateur were associated with increased activity in nucleus accumbens (NAcc), a structure strongly implicated in reward processing, and greater NAcc during aggressive decisions predicted stronger behavioral retaliation [50•]. Importantly, strength of functional connectivity between NAcc and right ventrolateral PFC during aggressive decisions was associated with reduced retaliatory aggression, supporting the notion that strength of self-regulatory control is important for modulating aggression.

Summary

The available biochemical, neuropsychological, and brain imaging data all indicate areas of the prefrontal cortex and

a social-behavior network – the hypothalamus in particular – as important for regulating a range of social behaviors, including aggression, across species. Moreover, considerable evidence implicates serotonergic neurotransmission in regulating angry, reactive forms of aggression. Animal models using a wide range of species, from tiny fruit flies to our primate cousins, have proven invaluable for understanding the neural bases of this kind of aggression.

However, technological advances have made modern humans much more adept at escalated forms of aggression and violence compared to other animals. In the not-too-distant past, causing physical harm to another person meant engaging in hand-to-hand combat, in which the perpetrator risked being injured as much as the victim. Tools of war, from arrows and rifles to warships and drones, have allowed humans to inflict massive amounts of harm from long distances, often without directly confronting or even seeing their victims. This more detached form of aggression differs dramatically from the aggression perpetrated by other animals and even our relatively recent human ancestors. It seems likely that the quantum leap in humans' ability to aggress in more detached and emotionless ways represents a decoupling of aggressive actions from the neurochemical and neurophysiological processes that evolved to support functional aggression. Given such divergence, it would seem that understanding uniquely human forms of aggression and violence, such as mass shootings and acts of war, likely will be achieved primarily through human behavioral, neuropsychological and psychophysiological research.

Conflict of interest statement

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

Preparation of this manuscript was not supported by any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
 - of outstanding interest
1. Bushman BJ, Bartholow BD: **Aggression**. In *Advanced Social Psychology*. Edited by Baumeister RF, Finkel EJ. New York: Oxford University Press; 2010:303–340.
 2. Briffa M: **Territoriality and aggression**. *Nat. Educ. Knowl.* 2014;1–5.
 3. Nelson RJ, Trainor BC: **Neural mechanisms of aggression**. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2007, 8:536–546 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn2174>.
 4. Cheng Y, Ma Z, Kim B-H et al.: **Principles of regulatory information conservation between mouse and human**. *Nature* 2014, 515:371–375 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13985>.
This study demonstrates conservation of genetically mediated neural regulatory mechanisms from mouse to human brains, providing key evidence that rodent models of neural function are indeed useful for understanding human neural function.

5. de Boar S: **Animal models: implications for human aggression and violence.** *Curr. Opin. Psychol.* 2017.
6. Harlow JM: **Recovery from the passage of an iron bar through the head.** *Publ. Mass. Med. Soc.* 1868, **2**:327-347.
7. Blair RJR: **The roles of orbital frontal cortex in the modulation of antisocial behavior.** *Brain Cogn.* 2004, **55**:198-208 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0278-2626\(03\)00276-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0278-2626(03)00276-8).
8. Damasio H, Grabowski T, Frank R, Galaburda AM, Damasio AR: **The return of Phineas Gage: clues about the brain from the skull of a famous patient.** *Science* 1994, **264**:1102-1105 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.8178168>.
9. Goldman-Rakic PS, Cools AR, Srivastava K: **The prefrontal landscape: implications of functional architecture for understanding human mentation and the central executive [and discussion].** *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 1996, **351**:1445-1453 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1996.0129>.
10. Giancola PR: **Executive functioning: a conceptual framework for alcohol-related aggression.** *Exp. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 2000, **8**:576-597 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1064-1297.8.4.576>.
11. Seguin JR, Zelazo PD: **Executive function in early physical aggression.** In *Developmental Origins of Aggression.* Edited by Tremblay RE, Hartup WW, Archer J. New York: Guilford; 2005:307-329.
12. Giancola PR, Zeichner A: **Neuropsychological performance on tests of frontal-lobe functioning and aggressive behavior in men.** *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* 1994, **103**:832-835 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.103.4.832>.
13. Giancola PR: **Evidence for dorsolateral and orbital prefrontal cortical involvement in the expression of aggressive behavior.** *Aggress. Behav.* 1995, **21**:431-450 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1098-2337\(1995\)21:6<431::AID-AB2480210604>3.0.CO;2-Q](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1098-2337(1995)21:6<431::AID-AB2480210604>3.0.CO;2-Q).
14. de Bruin JP, van Oven HG, Van de Poll N: **Behavioural changes following lesions of the orbital prefrontal cortex in male rats.** *Behav. Brain Res.* 1983, **10**:209-232.
15. Anderson SW, Bechara A, Damasio H, Tranel D, Damasio AR: **Impairment of social and moral behavior related to early damage in human prefrontal cortex.** *Nat. Neurosci.* 1999, **2**:1032-1037 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/14833>.
16. Grafman J, Schwab K, Warden D, Prudgen a, Brown HR, Salazar aM: **Frontal lobe injuries, violence, and aggression: a report of the Vietnam Head Injury Study.** *Neurology* 1996, **46**:1231-1238 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.46.5.1231>.
17. Yang YL, Raine A, Colletti P, Toga AW, Narr KL: **Morphological alterations in the prefrontal cortex and the amygdala in unsuccessful psychopaths.** *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* 2010, **119**:546-554 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0019611>.
18. Newman SW: **The medial extended amygdala in male reproductive behavior. A node in the mammalian social behavior network.** *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1999, **877**:242-257 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09271.x>.
19. Kruk MR: **Ethology and pharmacology of hypothalamic aggression in the rat.** *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 1991, **15**:527-538 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634\(05\)80144-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634(05)80144-7).
20. Bard P: **A diencephalic mechanism for the expression of rage with special reference to the sympathetic nervous system.** *Am. J. Physiol.* 1928, **84**:490-515 <http://ajplegacy.physiology.org/content/84/3/490>.
21. Bermond B, Mos J, Meelis W, van der Poel AM, Kruk MR: **Aggression induced by stimulation of the hypothalamus: effects of androgens.** *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1982, **16**:41-45 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0091-3057\(82\)90010-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0091-3057(82)90010-7).
22. Kruk MR, Van Der Laan CE, Mos J, Van Der Poel AM, Meelis W, Olivier B: **Comparison of aggressive behaviour induced by electrical stimulation in the hypothalamus of male and female rats.** *Prog. Brain Res.* 1984, **61**:303-314.
23. Siegel A, Roeling TAP, Gregg TR, Kruk MR: **Neuropharmacology of brain-stimulation-evoked aggression.** *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 1999, **23**:359-389 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634\(98\)00040-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634(98)00040-2).
24. Ferris CF, Potegal M: **Vasopressin receptor blockade in the anterior hypothalamus suppresses aggression in hamsters.** *Physiol. Behav.* 1988, **44**:235-239 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384\(88\)90144-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(88)90144-8).
25. Haller J: **The neurobiology of abnormal manifestations of aggression—a review of hypothalamic mechanisms in cats, rodents, and humans.** *Brain Res. Bull.* 2013, **93**:97-109 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2012.10.003>.
26. Boyden ES, Zhang F, Bamberg E, Nagel G, Deisseroth K: **• Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity.** *Nat. Neurosci.* 2005, **8**:1263-1268 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn1525>.
This article describes the development and application of an optogenetic technique for inserting genes that encode light-sensitive protein onto specific types of groups of neurons, allowing scientists to activate those neurons to varying degrees using photons of varying frequencies. Relative to chemical or electrical stimulation procedures, this technique permits much better precision in manipulating the activation of neural structures in order to observe their functional significance for behavioral phenotypes.
27. Lin D, Boyle MP, Dollar P et al.: **Functional identification of an aggression locus in the mouse hypothalamus.** *Nature* 2011, **470**:221-226 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09736>.
28. Lee H, Kim D-W, Remedios R et al.: **Scalable control of mounting and attack by Esr1+ neurons in the ventromedial hypothalamus.** *Nature* 2014, **509**:627-632 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13169>.
In this article, Lee and colleagues employed the optogenetic technique developed by Boyden and colleagues [26] to demonstrate that a small cluster of hypothalamic neurons controls a range of behaviors, from the highly prosocial (mounting) to the highly antisocial (attack). This work provides clear support for the idea that aggression is an emergent property of a social behavior network important for the regulation of social behaviors generally [18].
29. Hong W, Kim D-W, Anderson DJ: **Antagonistic control of social versus repetitive self-grooming behaviors by separable amygdala neuronal subsets.** *Cell* 2014, **158**:1348-1361 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.07.049>.
30. Moffitt TE, Brammer GL, Caspi A et al.: **Whole blood serotonin relates to violence in an epidemiological study.** *Biol. Psychiatry* 1998, **43**:446-457 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223\(97\)00340-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(97)00340-5).
31. Goveas JS, Csernansky JG, Coccaro EF: **Platelet serotonin content correlates inversely with life history of aggression in personality-disordered subjects.** *Psychiatry Res.* 2004, **126**:23-32 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2004.01.006>.
32. Higley JD, Mehlman PT, Taub DM et al.: **Cerebrospinal fluid monoamine and adrenal correlates of aggression in free-ranging rhesus monkeys.** *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 1992, **49**:436-441 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.49.6.436>.
33. Westergaard GC, Suomi SJ, Higley JD, Mehlman PT: **CSF 5-HIAA and aggression in female macaque monkeys: species and interindividual differences.** *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1999, **146**:440-446 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/PL00005489>.
34. Cleare AJ, Bond AJ: **The effect of tryptophan depletion and enhancement on subjective and behavioural aggression in normal male subjects.** *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1995, **118**:72-81 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02245252>.
35. Marsh DM, Dougherty DM, Moeller FG, Swann AC, Spiga R: **Laboratory-measured aggressive behavior of women: acute tryptophan depletion and augmentation.** *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2002, **26**:660-671 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0893-133X\(01\)00369-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0893-133X(01)00369-4).
36. Passamonti L, Crockett MJ, Apergis-Schoute AM et al.: **Effects of acute tryptophan depletion on prefrontal-amygdala connectivity while viewing facial signals of aggression.** *Biol. Psychiatry* 2012, **71**:36-43 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.07.033>.
37. Berman ME, McCloskey MS, Fanning JR, Schumacher JA, Coccaro EF: **Serotonin augmentation reduces response to attack in aggressive individuals.** *Psychol. Sci.* 2009, **20**:714-720 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02355.x>.

38. Cherek DR, Lane SD: **Effects of d-fenfluramine on aggressive and impulsive responding in adult males with a history of conduct disorder.** *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1999, **146**:473-481 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/PL00005493>.
39. Coccaro EF, Kavoussi RJ: **Fluoxetine and impulsive aggressive behavior in personality-disordered subjects.** *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 1997, **54**:1081-1088 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1997.01830240035005>.
40. Salzman C, Wolfson AN, Schatzberg A et al.: **Effect of fluoxetine on anger in symptomatic volunteers with borderline personality disorder.** *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 1995, **15**:23-29 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004714-199502000-00005>.
41. Berman ME, Tracy JL, Coccaro EF: **The serotonin hypothesis of aggression revisited.** *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 1997, **17**:651-665 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358\(97\)00039-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(97)00039-1).
42. Duke AA, Bègue L, Bell R, Eisenlohr-Moul T: **Revisiting the serotonin-aggression relation in humans: a meta-analysis.** *Psychol. Bull.* 2013, **139**:1148-1172 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0031544>.
43. Engelhardt CR, Bartholow BD, Kerr GT, Bushman BJ: **This is your brain on violent video games: neural desensitization to violence predicts increased aggression following violent video game exposure.** *J. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* 2011, **47**:1033-1036 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2011.03.027>.
This study was the first to demonstrate experimentally that brief exposure to a violent video game can cause changes to neurophysiological responses associated with desensitization to violence.
44. Bartholow BD, Bushman BJ, Sestir MA: **Chronic violent video game exposure and desensitization to violence: behavioral and event-related brain potential data.** *J. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* 2006, **42**:532-539 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2005.08.006>.
45. Nieuwenhuis S, Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD: **Decision making, the P3, and the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system.** *Psychol. Bull.* 2005, **131**:510-532 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.131.4.510>.
46. Bailey K, West R, Anderson CA: **The association between chronic exposure to video game violence and affective picture processing: an ERP study.** *Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 2011, **11**:259-276 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/s13415-011-0029-y>.
47. Weber R, Ritterfeld U, Mathiak K: **Does playing violent video games induce aggression? Empirical evidence of a functional magnetic resonance imaging study.** *Media Psychol.* 2006, **8**:39-60 http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/S1532785XMEP0801_4.
48. Gentile DA, Swing EL, Anderson CA, Rinker D, Thomas KM: **Differential neural recruitment during violent video game play in violent- and nonviolent-game players.** *Psychol. Pop. Media Cult.* 2014, **999**:39-51 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000009>.
49. Hermans EJ, Ramsey NF, van Honk J: **Exogenous testosterone enhances responsiveness to social threat in the neural circuitry of social aggression in humans.** *Biol. Psychiatry* 2008, **63**:263-270 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.05.013>.
50. Chester DS, DeWall CN: **The pleasure of revenge: retaliatory aggression arises from a neural imbalance toward reward.** *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 2016, **11**:1173-1182 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsv082>.
This study provided evidence that decisions to retaliate against a person who has provoked us are associated with increased activation in NAcc, long known to mediate effects of reward learning. This effect was strongest among individuals with histories of violence.