

## COMMENTARY

# Default categorization of outgroup faces and the other race effect: Commentary on the special issue

Bruce D. Bartholow 

University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA

## Correspondence

Bruce D. Bartholow, Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA.

Email: [bruce.bartholow@missouri.edu](mailto:bruce.bartholow@missouri.edu)

## Funding information

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Grant/Award Number: R01AA025451

## Abstract

This commentary addresses how studies examining the neurophysiological correlates of racial categorization can provide insight into the neurocognitive mechanisms of the other-race effect in recognition memory. Several articles in the special issue describe how event-related potentials (ERPs) have been used to examine processing of faces that vary according to race, some of which have concluded that larger ERP amplitudes elicited by other-race (relative to own-race) faces indicates less efficient visual processing of other-race faces. I describe findings from ERP studies of race categorization that suggest an alternative interpretation—that other-race faces elicit stronger categorization, which impedes individuation of other-race faces. Suggestions for future research are offered.

## KEYWORDS

event-related potentials, other-race effect, racial categorization, social cognition

The articles in this special issue provide a diverse set of perspectives on the so-called ‘other race effect’ (ORE), the consistent tendency to better recognize faces that represent our own versus another racial group.<sup>1</sup> As described in the article by McKone et al. (2022), the ORE has several real-world implications, from misidentifying criminal suspects to confusing one racial outgroup member for another in everyday social interactions. This commentary discusses how cognitive neuroscience research on racial categorization can contribute to understanding mechanisms for the ORE.

Social categorization—the act of mentally placing people into distinct social groups, including those defined by race—has been described as a spontaneous and inevitable by-product of the cognitive system (Liberman et al., 2017). Studies using event-related potentials (ERPs) have demonstrated that racial categories are distinguished within 200 ms of perceiving a face, regardless of whether perceivers are instructed to categorize or even attend to faces (Ito & Tomelleri, 2017; Volpert-Esmond et al., 2017; Volpert-Esmond & Bartholow, 2019). Research on face processing frequently examines the amplitude of the N170 ERP, a negative voltage deflection at occipito-temporal scalp locations (when recording is

<sup>1</sup>Use of the word ‘race’ in this article does not imply any biological or genetic differences between groups of people (which do not exist), but rather refers to a psychological construct by which people are distinguished based on visual features, such as skin tone, facial physiognomy, and hair texture.

referenced to the average activity at all other scalp locations) peaking 140–190 ms after face onset. The same neural activation that produces the N170 emerges as a positive voltage deflection at central midline scalp locations—the vertex positive potential (VPP), also called the centro-parietal P2—when recording is referenced to the average activity at the mastoids (see Joyce & Rossion, 2005).<sup>2</sup>

Tüttenberg and Wiese's (2023) excellent review describes several reports of larger N170 amplitude to other—relative to own-race faces, as well as research showing that the magnitude of this target race effect in the N170 correlates with the magnitude of the ORE in recognition performance (Wiese et al., 2014). Given evidence linking the N170 to structural encoding of faces (Rossion & Jacques, 2012), Tüttenberg and Wiese argue that target race effects in the N170 reflect difficulty with or less efficient early-stage perceptual processing of other-race faces, resulting in poorer encoding during subsequent processing stages and, consequently, poorer recognition.

The literature examining effects of target race on the centro-parietal P2 provides a different interpretation, suggesting that larger P2 responses to racial outgroup than ingroup faces, a common finding in this literature (Ito & Senholzi, 2013), reflect greater attention to or extraction of racial category information from outgroup faces. This finding is consistent with research indicating that other-race faces elicit stronger category activation than same-race faces (Levin, 2000). Evidence supporting the category-activation interpretation of the P2 comes from studies showing that a larger P2 response to a given face, relative to a perceiver's own average P2 response, predicts both a shorter latency of the P3 ERP—known to reflect the efficiency of stimulus evaluation (Kutas et al., 1977)—and a faster reaction time to overtly categorize faces by race or gender (Volpert-Esmond & Bartholow, 2021).

Thus, rather than less efficient processing of other-race faces, effects of race on P2 amplitude—and, by extension, N170 amplitude—seemingly reflect *more efficient* extraction of racial category information for outgroup faces. Given that categorization is antithetical to individuation (Hugenberg et al., 2010), and that individuation is necessary for recognition, this alternative interpretation suggests a plausible mechanism for the ORE in recognition memory performance.

A different yet complementary take on ERP correlates of the ORE is offered in the article by Herzmann et al. (2022). These authors focus on a later and more sustained ERP, emerging approximately 400–1000 ms after face onset and known as the Difference due to Memory (Dm). These authors report evidence that, under natural encoding conditions (i.e., with no specific instructions), the Dm is larger for own-relative to other-race faces. Other work indicates that when participants are instructed to individuate faces, Dm effects are larger for other-than for own-race faces and the ORE in recognition performance is attenuated (Tüttenberg & Wiese, 2021), suggesting the Dm reflects engagement of cognitive processes necessary for individuation.

Considered together, research focusing on initial neural responses to faces (reflected in N170/VPP/centro-parietal P2 amplitude) and later, more sustained responses (reflected in Dm amplitude) point to (at least) two distinct neurocognitive mechanisms contributing to the ORE in recognition performance: (1) an early-stage, spontaneous tendency to extract more social category information from other-relative to own-race faces and (2) a subsequent, more sustained engagement of memory encoding processes that facilitate individuation and, under most circumstances, favour own-relative to other-race faces.

An important limitation in this literature is the relative dearth of studies examining the ORE and its neural correlates in non-White participants. A notable exception is the set of experiments reported by Simon et al. (2023), who tested the ORE among White, Asian, Black, and Latinx participants. Interestingly, rather than a recognition advantage for own-race faces, participants in these experiments demonstrated a recognition advantage for members of higher-status versus lower-status racial groups. Simon et al. argue that we attend to other people we perceive to be relevant to our outcomes, and that, in a broad sense, higher-status individuals can influence our outcomes via their material and social power. Simon et al. did not measure neurophysiological responses in their experiments, which would have allowed comparison

<sup>2</sup>Note that the P2/VPP discussed here is distinct from a different positivity, also called 'P2', that emerges after the N170 at occipito-temporal locations when an average reference is used and which tends to be larger for own—relative to other-race faces (see Wiese & Schweinberger, 2018).

of their findings with the other work reviewed here, nor did they directly manipulate the social status of different 'race' groups (e.g., by creating fictitious 'race' groups and varying their status). Future studies including such a manipulation could provide strong support for this intriguing alternative explanation of the ORE.

Future ERP-based examinations of the ORE would benefit from adopting advances in ERP data analytic approaches, especially analysis of trial-level data to isolate neural responses elicited by individual target faces (Volpert-Esmond et al., 2018, 2021). By disaggregating within—from between-person variability in ERP amplitudes, this approach can provide a more granular understanding of individual differences in the functional association between face-elicited neurocognitive responses and behaviour, including the ORE in recognition performance. Ultimately, such work could suggest targets for intervention to reduce the ORE in everyday situations.

## FUNDING INFORMATION

Preparation of this article was supported in part by grant R01AA025451 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (Bartholow, PI).

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None.

## ORCID

Bruce D. Bartholow  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9234-6417>

## REFERENCES

- Herzmann, G., Ogle, O., & Curran, T. (2022). More elaborate processing of own-race faces and less elaborate processing of other-race faces contribute to the other-race effect in face memory. *British Journal of Psychology*, *113*(4), 1033–1055.
- Hugenberg, K., Young, S. G., Bernstein, M. J., & Sacco, D. F. (2010). The categorization-individuation model: An integrative account of the other-race recognition deficit. *Psychological Review*, *117*(4), 1168–1187.
- Ito, T. A., & Senholzi, K. B. (2013). Us versus them: Understanding the process of race perception with event-related brain potentials. *Visual Cognition*, *21*(9–10), 1096–1120.
- Ito, T. A., & Tomelleri, S. (2017). Seeing is not stereotyping: The functional independence of categorization and stereotype activation. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *12*(5), 758–764.
- Joyce, C., & Rossion, B. (2005). The face-sensitive N170 and VPP components manifest the same brain processes: The effect of reference electrode site. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *116*(11), 2613–2631.
- Kutas, M., McCarthy, G., & Donchin, E. (1977). Augmenting mental chronometry: The P300 as a measure of stimulus evaluation time. *Science*, *197*(4305), 792–795.
- Levin, D. T. (2000). Race as a visual feature: Using visual search and perceptual discrimination tasks to understand face categories and the cross-race recognition deficit. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *129*, 559–574.
- Lieberman, Z., Woodward, A. L., & Kinzler, K. D. (2017). The origins of social categorization. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *21*(7), 556–568.
- McKone, E., Dawel, A., Robbins, R. A., Shou, Y., Chen, N., & Crookes, K. (2021). Why the other-race effect matters: Poor recognition of other-race faces impacts everyday social interactions. *British Journal of Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12508>. Online ahead of print.
- Rossion, B., & Jacques, C. (2012). The N170: Understanding the time course of face perception in the human brain. In S. J. Luck & E. S. Kappenman (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of event-related potential components* (pp. 115–142). Oxford University Press.
- Simon, D., Chen, J. M., Sherman, J. W., & Calanchini, J. (2023). A recognition advantage for members of higher-status racial groups. *British Journal of Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12587>. Online ahead of print.
- Tüttenberg, S. C., & Wiese, H. (2021). Recognising other-race faces is more effortful: The effect of individuation instructions on encoding-related ERP dm effects. *Biological Psychology*, *158*, 107992.
- Tüttenberg, S. C., & Wiese, H. (2023). Event-related brain potential correlates of the other-race effect: A review. *British Journal of Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12591>. Online ahead of print.
- Volpert-Esmond, H. I., & Bartholow, B. D. (2019). Explicit categorization goals affect attention-related processing of race and gender during person construal. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *85*, 103839.
- Volpert-Esmond, H. I., & Bartholow, B. D. (2021). A functional coupling of brain and behavior during social categorization of faces. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *47*(11), 1580–1595.
- Volpert-Esmond, H. I., Merkle, E., & Bartholow, B. D. (2017). The iterative nature of person construal: Evidence from event-related potentials. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *12*(7), 1097–1107.

- Volpert-Esmond, H. I., Merkle, E. C., Levsen, M. P., Ito, T. A., & Bartholow, B. D. (2018). Using trial-level data and multilevel modeling to investigate within-task change in event-related potentials. *Psychophysiology*, *55*, e13044.
- Volpert-Esmond, H. I., Page-Gould, E., & Bartholow, B. D. (2021). Using multilevel models for the analysis of event-related potentials. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, *162*, 145–156.
- Wiese, H., Kaufmann, J. M., & Schweinberger, S. R. (2014). The neural signature of the own-race bias: Evidence from event-related potentials. *Cerebral Cortex*, *24*(3), 826–835.
- Wiese, H., & Schweinberger, S. R. (2018). Inequality between biases in face memory: Event-related potentials reveal dissociable neural correlates of own-race and own-gender biases. *Cortex*, *101*, 119–135.

**How to cite this article:** Bartholow, B. D. (2023). Default categorization of outgroup faces and the other race effect: Commentary on the special issue. *British Journal of Psychology*, *114*(Suppl. 1), 10–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12648>